



# Board of Engineers - Public Meeting Minutes

Engineers Board

May 24, 2024 at 9:00 AM EDT

@ 7 Eagle Square, Concord, NH 03301

## Attendance

**Members Present:** David Gill, Marc Morin, Paul Mullin

**Members Absent:** Shawn Bergeron, Chris Mulleavey

### I. Call To Order at 9:07

Meeting called to order. If a voting member has been allowed to attend remotely, identify that member, have them check that they can clearly hear and be heard, and that they are alone in the room. Note that all votes must be via roll call vote if any voting member is attending remotely.

Marc Morin, Vice President is acting Chair

### II. Review of Public Minutes

#### A. Draft- April 26, 2024 Minutes

##### **Motion to Approve**

Motion moved by DG and motion seconded by PM. roll call vote 3-0-0

### III. Public Appearances

#### A. 9:00 Greg Mathieson to Speak with the Board

His son is struggling to get reference Letters. Letters can be from a licensed PE in **any** state.

### IV. OPLC and/or Board Administration Updates

- #### A. Standing Order on Remote Participation – A Standing Order was created at the suggestion of the DOJ. Under the new 91-A quorum in the room is 2 for this Board. The statute requires 1/3 needs to be physically present. The remaining members to equal a quorum or greater could participate remotely. The Board would need to vote to allow remote participation before the meeting starts. And document the reason for the zoom attendance.

### V. Old Business

### VI. New Business

- #### A. Board Nomination Question for the Board – RSA 155-A:10 State Building Code Review Board. There is established a state building code review board consisting of the commissioner of safety or the commissioner's designee, and the following members, appointed by the commissioner of safety: (a) One

architect licensed in this state for a minimum of 5 years, nominated by the board of architects established in RSA 310-A:29.

(b) Two, one structural engineer licensed in this state for a minimum of 5 years, nominated by the board of professional engineers established in RSA 310-A:3...

Question from Dan Martel regarding adding a second member since one member is retiring from the BCRB. Chris Mulleavey is a member of that Board. The Board is requesting a more formal request providing full details, for example, who is coming off the Board, the timing of that, and their discipline, and his request should provide some input. There are professional societies. He could reach out to as well.

- B. It was requested the Board hold their next meeting on June 28<sup>th</sup> at 9:00 a.m. All in attendance agreed they are available. The meeting is moved and the website updated along with a notice sent to all the Board members as a reminder.
- C. Josh Montminy Question - This person would need to apply before any response can be given. The reason for this is, we need an official documentation to be assessed. What gets in an email and what is in an application may not always add up. All Board members agree.
- D. Can the same engineer who is the responsible engineer for an existing engineering firm, also represent a new company being opened by the same firm he works for.  
There is insufficient evidence to make any determination. Direct this consumer to submit a written request to include complete details, or he could attend a Board meeting, or he could submit for a declaratory ruling.
- E. Discussion Topics  
At the zone meeting Great Britain and the USA are coming together and creating an agreement at the Council for Great Britton that will look like a compact/consortium, to create ease of licensure. Nothing is in place yet, this is the very beginning stages. The deadline is June 1, 2024 that the Board needs to inform NCEES if the Board agrees with this. We sent people over there to understand their procees and, if we do this, it is completely different then with Canada. Something like this would be a benefit for the both Great Britian and the United States. Some companies are international and this would be great for them. If the Board was interested in this, our model law would have to be the same as NCEES for Model Law applicants. In order to get this type of licensure a person would need to be in good standing on the NCEES list to even qualify.

Based on your current experience. If you were a model law engineer in 2020, you would be acceptable. As long as you held a NCEES Model Law license in good standing. At the event in Washington DC, they were gauging interest from the attendees.

**Motion** from David Gill with a second from Paul Mullin, roll call vote 3-0-0 to offer support of the NCEES Mutual Recognition Agreement. The Board is in support of this concept. One of our delegates will go to sign the support agreement. To be clear, our Boards rules and laws will not change at this time, but may in the future.

**NCEES Funded Delegates:** NH has not nominated our delegates yet. We are one of 3 boards that have not. The Annual Conference is being held August 14 - 17th in Chicago. Board Counsel believes if it was not decided at the zone meeting then it would not be funded through OPLC, but will check into it for next meeting. Paul Mullin and David Gill is planning on going. Board Admin to send request to poll who will be attending the Annual Conference.

## VII. Licensure

### A. Model Law Applicants

#### **Motion** to Accept

On motion from David Gill, with a second from Paul Mullin to approve all Model Law Applicants, roll call vote 3-0-0.

### B. Exam Applicants – in the future, please assign to the Board member in that field/specialty.

1. **Nicholas DiBartholomeo** - could not tell what he was requesting (civil guessing) packet only had 3 references. He needs to submit the other 3 (do we have them?) If we could confirm all 5 references are in the packet, he can be approved to sit for the exam. And 3 of them are PE's.
2. **Casey Harding** - requesting Civil, ok to sit for the exam with a Civil designation on the roster.
3. **Aidan Short** - requesting Civil. Only 1 reference was received. Need to be sure all the references were received 2 PE's. OK to take the exam if we confirm all 5 references are there.
4. **Andrew Wojciak** - requesting Civil. Only 3 references were in the packet. OK to take the exam for Civil as long as we can confirm the references are there.

5. **Nicholas Yenchek** – requesting to take the Civil exam. Application is complete, this person is ok to take the exam.

C. Non-MLE/Non-Abet

1. **Bryan Buccianeri** - Reciprocity Comity application. The Board accepts his application to be a Mechanical Engineer.
2. **Janae Carraway** - Requesting Civil and Environmental. The Board accepts his application to be a Civil Engineer.
3. **Kurt Hakola** - Requesting Civil. The Board accepts his application to be a Civil Engineer.
4. **Mohamed Habough** – His application was incomplete. The packet had the Ethics exam in it.
5. **Robert Piriak** - Requesting Civil. He took and passed the 16 hr structural exam, so he could be issued a Civil or Structural or Both.

VIII. Administrative Rules & Legislative Topics

IX. Non-Public Session

Non-Public session conducted for the purpose of discussing investigations of alleged licensee misconduct and other confidential Board business. Such a non-public session is authorized by RSA 91-A:3, II (c) & (e), RSA 91-A:5, IV, Lodge v. Knowlton, (1978), and the Board's executive and deliberative privileges.

**Motion** On motion from David Gill with a second from Paul Mullin to move to NP session at 10:05 a.m. roll call vote 3-0-0.

X. Resume Public Session at 10:33 a.m.

XI. Seal The Minutes of the Non-Public Session

Seal The Minutes of the Non-Public Session

Minutes of the non-public session are sealed to maintain the privacy of the items discussed in non-public session pursuant to RSA 91-A:3, II (c), on the grounds that public disclosure may adversely affect the reputation of a person other than a Board member or render the proposed action ineffective.

Motion to Seal the Minutes from David Gill with a second from Paul Mullin, roll call vote 3-0-0.

XII. Adjournment

On motion from David Gill with a second from Paul Mullin to adjourn at 10:35, roll call vote 3-0-0.